# TILAK MAHARASHTRA VIDYAPEETH, PUNE

# BACHELOR OF LAWS (LL.B.) (Three Years Semester Pattern)/ B.A. LL.B. (ACADEMIC LAW)

# (FIVE YEARS SEMESTER PATTERN) CREDIT SYSTEM EXAMINATION: DECEMBER - 2023

#### **NINETH/FIFTH SEMESTER**

Sub.: Administrative Law (LW-9003-503)

Date: 07/12/2023 Total Marks: 60 Time: 10.00 am to 12.30 pm

**Instructions:** 

- 1) All questions are compulsory.
- 2) Figure indicate to the right full marks.

#### Q. 1. Answer the following question.

(15)

A) Critically examine the Concept of Rule of Law. How far this concept is relevant in the Indian Constitution?

OR

B) What is Judicial Review? Explain Doctrine of Legitimate Expectation and Doctrine of Proportionality. Substantiate your answer with relevant case laws.

### Q. 2. Answer the following question.

(15)

A) Explain the Rule against Bias along with "Judicial Obstinacy" and Speaking Order. Substantiate your Answer with Relevant Case Laws.

OR

B) What is delegated legislation? Explain the need for delegated legislation along with Parliamentary and Judicial Control over Delegated Legislation.

#### Q. 3. Write Short Notes. (any four)

(20)

- a) Laissez-faire State
- b) Public Corporations
- c) Working of Right to Information Act, 2005
- d) Administrative Discretion
- e) PIL Expansion of Locus Standii
- f) Liability of the Administration in Contract

## Q. 4. Answer the following questions.

(10)

A) In a case, the state of Andhra Pradesh nationalised the motor transport industry under a government scheme. Before nationalising the said industry, the government invited objections from affected and interested parties. The petitioner filed his objections and said objections were heard by the secretary of government. In spite of filing objections, the scheme of nationalising the motor industry was passed by the government. The petitioner filed a case challenging the approval of the scheme, contending two issues: 1) The secretary who heard the objection was the same person who initiated the

scheme. 2) The objections were heard by one officer, and the decision was taken by another officer.

In light of the above case, explain which principle is violated here, write in detail about the principle, and give the name of the above landmark judgement.

OR

B) Observe the image given below. Write in detail your observations and interpretations about the image in the light of administrative law.

